

Segment Routing

A tutorial

- Paresh Khatri
- DD-02-2016

Agenda

1. Introduction
2. Use cases and applicability
3. Deployment options
4. IGP extensions for segment routing (if time permits)

introduction

MPLS: a historical perspective (1)

- Two main protocols: LDP or RSVP-TE
 - LDP for scale and simplicity – extensions for fast re-route (loop-free alternates [LFAs])
 - RSVP-TE for TE and FRR for some time
- To scale MPLS we enabled:
 - LDPoRSVP
 - Seamless MPLS: LBL-BGP with LDP
- Traffic engineering: RSVP-TE based
- Services through:
 - BGP/IGP shortcuts, PW (T-LDP/BGP), VPLS (LDP/BGP), VPRN (BGP), MVPN (BGP/mLDP/P2MP RSVP)
- Issues:
 - TE solutions don't scale when we want more granularity/dynamicity, RLFA too complex (dynamic T-LDP signaling)

MPLS: a historical perspective (2)

	LDP	RSVP-TE
Overview	Multipoint to point	Point to point
Operation	Simple	LSP per destination/TE-path
Dependencies	Relies on IGP	Relies on IGP TE
LBL allocation	Local significant per node (interface)	Local significant per node (interface)
Traffic Engineering	No	Yes
Scaling	1 LBL per node (interface)	$N \times (N-1)$
Fast Reroute	LFA, LFA Policies, RLFA - <100% coverage	Link/Node protection (detour/facility) – 100% coverage
Multicast	mLDP	P2MP RSVP
IPv6	Extensions required	Extensions required

What problem are we trying to solve ?

SCALE

- Increasing network growth with traffic engineering (TE) requirement
- RSVP-TE is the only widely-spread solution to provide TE
- No LDP TE present
- LDP Fast ReRoute (FRR) can be used in some parts of the network but is topology dependent

RSVP-TE

- Pros:
 - Source Routed protocol ; iLER has full control to setup LSP to destination
 - Presence of strong FRR and TE capabilities
- Cons:
 - Soft-state ; refresh mechanism required : refresh reduction (RFC2961) ; aggregates messages but not # soft-states
 - Mid-point state presence in network (when FRR) ; consumes CPU cycles and memory

Objective of Segment Routing

The primary objective for Segment Routing (SR) is source routing: the ability for a node to specify a unicast forwarding path, other than the normal shortest path, that a particular packet will traverse.

IETF SPRING working group


- SPRING (Source Packet Routing in Networking) Working Group addresses the following:
 - IGP-based MPLS tunnels without the addition of any other signaling protocol
 - The ability to tunnel services (VPN, VPLS, VPWS) from ingress PE to egress PE with or without an explicit path, and without requiring forwarding plane or control plane state in intermediate nodes.
 - Fast Reroute
 - Any topology, pre-computation and setup of backup path without any additional signaling.
 - Support of shared-risk constraints, support of link/node protection, support of micro-loop avoidance.

IETF SPRING working group

- SPRING (Source Packet Routing in Networking) Working Group addresses the following:
 - Traffic Engineering
 - The soft-state nature of RSVP-TE exposes it to scaling issues; particularly in the context of SDN where traffic differentiation may be done at a finer granularity.
 - Should include loose/strict options, distributed and centralised models, disjointness, ECMP-awareness, limited (preferably zero) per-service state on midpoint and tail-end routers.
 - All of this should allow incremental and selective deployment with minimal disruption

Introduction to Segment Routing


- Segment Routing provides a tunneling mechanism that enables source routing.
- Paths are encoded as sequences of topological sub-paths called segments, which are advertised by link-state routing protocols (IS-IS and OSPF).



Encoding Segment Routing tunnels

- A Segment Routing (SR) tunnel, containing a single segment or a segment list, is encoded as:
 - A single MPLS label or an ordered list of hops represented by a stack of MPLS labels (no change to the MPLS data-plane).
 - A single IPv6 address, or an ordered list of hops represented by a number of IPv6 addresses in the IPv6 Extension header (Segment Routing Header).
- The segment list can represent either a topological path (node, link) or a service.

The segments can be thought as a set of instructions from the ingress PE such as “go to node D using the shortest path”, “go to node D using link/node/explicit-route L”




Operations on segments

- Three distinct operations:
 - **PUSH**: the insertion of a segment at the head of the Segment list.
 - **NEXT**: the active segment is completed; the next segment becomes active.
 - **CONTINUE**: the active segment is not completed and hence remains active.

Segment routing with MPLS data plane (1)

- MPLS instantiation of Segment Routing aligns with the MPLS architecture defined in RFC 3031
- For each segment, IGP advertises an identifier referred to as a Segment ID (SID). A SID is a 32-bit entity; with the MPLS label being encoded as the 20 right-most bits of the segment



Label: Label Value, 20 bits
Exp: Experimental Use, 3 bits
S: Bottom of Stack, 1 bit
TTL: Time to Live, 8 bits

Segment routing with MPLS data plane (2)

- When Segment Routing is instantiated over the MPLS data-plane, the following actions apply :
 - A list of segments is represented as a stack of labels
 - The active segment is the top label
 - The **CONTINUE** operation is implemented as a **SWAP** operation
 - The **NEXT** operation is implemented as a **POP** operation
 - The **PUSH** operation is implemented as a **PUSH** operation

Types of segments

Prefix Segment

- Globally unique – allocated from SRGB
- Typically multi-hop
- ECMP-aware shortest-path IGP route to a related prefix
- Indexing or absolute SID
- Signaled by IGP

Adjacency Segment


- Locally unique – each SR router in the domain can use the same space
- Typically single-hop
- Signaled by IGP

BGP Prefix Segment

- Example Prefix Segment in DC environment
- DC GW representation
- Signaled by BGP (in DC)

BGP Peer Segment

- EPE ; Egress Peering Engineering
- Influence how to control traffic to adjacent AS
- Signaled by BGP-LS (w/ EPE controller)



Segment identifiers – prefix segments

- Prefix Segment (Prefix-SID)
 - Globally unique within the IGP/SR domain – allocated from the SR Global Block (SRGB)*
 - Represents the ECMP-aware shortest-path IGP route to the related prefix
 - Typically a multi-hop path
 - Includes “P” flag to allow neighbours to perform the “NEXT” (pop) operation whilst processing the segment (analogous to Penultimate Hop Popping in MPLS).
 - Two options exist; Indexing or Absolute-SID (described in later slides)

* In an MPLS architecture, SRGB is the set of local labels reserved for global segments.

Segment identifiers – node segments


- Node Segment ID (Node-SID)
 - A special prefix segment used to identify a specific router (loopback/system address).
 - Identified by “N” flag being set in advertised segment (Prefix-SID Sub-TLV).
 - Represents the ECMP-aware shortest-path IGP route to the specified node.

Segment identifiers – anycast segments

- Anycast Segment ID (Anycast-SID)
 - A prefix segment specifying a set of routers
 - Represents the ECMP-aware shortest-path IGP route to the closest node of the “anycast set”.
 - Potentially useful for coarse traffic engineering (i.e. route via plane A of dual-plane network, route via Region B of multi-region network) or node redundancy (i.e. traffic re-routes to shortest path towards any other router that is part of the “anycast set”).


Example: SR tunnel with prefix-SID (node-SID)

- PE2 advertises Node Segment into IGP (Prefix-SID Sub-TLV Extension to IS-IS/OSPF)
- All routers in SR domain install the node segment to PE2 in the MPLS data-plane.
 - No RSVP and/or LDP control plane required.
 - When applied to MPLS, a Segment is essentially an LSP.



Example: SR tunnel with prefix-SID (node-SID)


- For traffic from PE1 to PE2, PE1 pushes on node segment {800} and uses shortest IGP path to reach PE2.
- Active segment is the top of the stack for MPLS:
 - P1 and P2 implement CONTINUE (swap) action in MPLS data-plane
 - P3 implements NEXT (pop) action (based on P-bit in Prefix-SID not being set).



- No state held in network with the exception of segment list for tunnel held at PE1.

Prefix segment identifiers – absolute SIDs

- The use of absolute SID values requires a single consistent SRGB on all SR routers throughout the IGP domain.
- Example:
 - PE2 advertises MP-BGP label 910 for VPN prefix Z.
 - To forward traffic to VPN prefix Z, and again assuming preferred (non-ECMP) path from PE1 to PE2 is PE1-P3-P4-PE2, PE1 pushes label 910 onto bottom of stack, and label 600 (Node-SID for PE2) on top of stack.
 - Label (SID) does not change hop by hop.




Prefix SID indexing

- Why ?
 - SR domain can be multi-vendor w/ possibility that each vendor uses a different MPLS label range
 - Prefix SID must be globally unique within SR domain
- How ?
 - Indexing mechanism is required for prefix SIDs. All routers within the SR domain are expected to configure and advertise the same prefix SID index range for a given IGP instance.
 - The label value used by each router to represent a prefix 'Z' (= label programmed in ILM) can be local to that router by the use of an offset label, referred to as a start label :

Local Label (for Prefix SID) = (local) start-label + {Prefix SID index}

Example: Prefix SID indexing

- For example, assume the SRGB is {1000, 1999}, and the SID Index Range is {1,100}.
 - Each SR router in the domain defines a start point in the SRGB (start-label), and an offset label called an SID index.
 - SR routers sum {start-label + SID index} to obtain a local label for a Prefix SID.
 - Assuming PE2 advertises loopback 192.0.2.2/32 with a prefix index of 2:
 - PE2's SID is {1010+2}= 1012
 - P4's SID is {1020+2}= 1022
 - P3's SID is {1030+2}=1032 etc.
 - PE2 advertises MP-BGP label 910 for VPN prefix Z.
-
- The diagram illustrates a network topology for a VPN prefix Z. The network consists of four Provider Edge (PE) routers (PE1, PE2, PE3, PE4) and four Provider (P) routers (P1, P2, P3, P4). PE1 is connected to CE1, and PE2 is connected to CE2. The diagram shows the calculation of local SIDs for each router based on a start-label and a prefix index. For example, PE2's SID is 1010 + 2 = 1012. The diagram also shows the advertisement of an MP-BGP label 910 for a VPN prefix Z. A packet is shown being sent from CE2 to CE1 via the network.
- | Router | Node-SID | Start-Label | Local Label |
|--------|----------|-------------|-------------|
| PE1 | 100 | 1060 | 1060 |
| PE2 | 600 | 1010 | 1012 |
| PE3 | 400 | 1030 | 1032 |
| PE4 | 500 | 1020 | 1022 |
- MP-BGP Label 910 for VPN prefix Z.




Segment identifiers – adjacency segments

- Adjacency Segment ID (Adj-SID)
 - A segment identifying an adjacency or set of adjacencies that must be in the IGP.
 - Segment Identifier (SID) is local to the router that advertises it (every SR router in the domain can use the same segment space).
 - If:
 - AB is the Node-SID of node N, and...
 - ABC is an Adj-SID at node N to an adjacency over link L, then....
 - A packet with segment list {AB, ABC} will be forwarded along the shortest-path to node N, then switched by N towards link L without any consideration of shortest-path routing.
 - If the Adj-SID identifies a set of adjacencies, node N can load-balance the traffic over the members of that set.

Segment identifiers – adjacency segments

- All SR routers advertise Adjacency segment(s) into IGP (Adjacency-SID Sub-TLV Extension to IS-IS/OSPF).
- Adjacency segments may be of local or global significance, but only the advertising SR router installs the adjacency segment into the MPLS data-plane
 - *From a data-path perspective, it is analogous to a label-swap to implicit-null.*
- Provides for end-to-end source-routing capability where the Adjacency segments may determine the explicit hop-by-hop path through the network.
- Beware however, label stack depth has implications on hardware.

Example: SR tunnel with adjacency segments




Example: SR tunnel with node and adjacency segments

- A combination of node and adjacency segments is also possible.
- This provides the ability to exercise ECMP paths to the next specified node segment, but enforce the use of a particular link (or links) from that node.

Example: SR tunnel with node and adjacency segments

- In this example, PE1 wants to traverse the link P2-P5 on the way to PE2, as it is under-utilised.
- PE1 therefore imposes the segment list {300, 1003, 800} representing the the Node-SID for P2, the Adj-SID for link P2-P5, and finally the Node-SID for PE2.




Comparison with LDP and RSVP-TE

	LDP	RSVP-TE	SR
Overview	Multipoint to point	Point to point	Multipoint to point
Operation	Simple	LSP per destination/TE-path	Simple
Dependencies	Relies on IGP	Relies on IGP TE	Relies on IGP + offline TE
LBL allocation	Local significant per node (interface)	Local significant per node (interface)	Global
Traffic Engineering	No	Yes	yes
Scaling	1 LBL per node (interface)	$N \times (N-1)$	1 LBL per node/ local interface
Fast Reroute	LFA, LFA Policies, RLFA - <100% coverage	Link/Node protection (detour/facility) – 100% coverage	LFA, LFA Policies, RLFA/DLFA - can get to 100% coverage (better than LDP with RLFA)
Multicast	mLDP	P2MP RSVP	TBD
IPv6	Extensions required	Extensions required	Native

use cases and applicability


Use case 1: Shortest path routing (1)

- All nodes advertise a unique node segment into the IGP.
- For traffic from PE1 to PE2, PE1 pushes on segment list {800} and uses shortest IGP path to reach PE2 (PE1-P1-P2-P3-PE2)
 - P1 and P2 install ILM CONTINUE entry {label=800, NHLFE=label 800, Next-Hop=shortest path to PE2}
 - P3 installs ILM CONTINUE or NEXT entry {label=800, POP, Next-Hop=shortest path to PE2}




Use case 1: Shortest path routing (2)

- If PE1 has ECMP=>2, and equal-cost paths to the SR tunnel tail-end exist, all equal-cost paths can be exercised:
 - Based on hash output, flows m routed PE1-P1-P2-P3-PE2 with segment list {800}
 - Based on hash output, flows n routed PE1-P4-P5-P6-PE2 with segment list {800}



Use case 2: Source-routing with node-SID

- Adj-SID provides the capability to explicit-route on a hop-by-hop basis, but has the potential to create a deep label stack-depth if all hops are explicitly listed.
- Assume we have a requirement to engineer traffic away from the P2-P3 link (due to high utilisation or link degradation) to some other under-utilised link(s).
- Traffic from PE2 to PE1 can be re-routed away from this link using segment list {300, 600, 800} constructed purely from Node-SIDs.
- Alternative option if link utilisation permits is simply {600, 800}.




Use case 3: Disjointness (1)

- Disjointness describes two (or more) services that must be completely disjoint of each other. They should not share common network infrastructure – i.e. if one fails, the other must always be active.
- Many networks employ the 'dual-plane' design, where inter-plane links are configured such that the route to a destination stays on that plane during a single failure scenario.
- Disjointness can broadly be achieved using Anycast segments.

Use case 3: Disjointness (2)


- Assume service 1 between PE1 and PE3 must be disjoint from service 2 between PE2 and PE4:

- Service 1 at PE1 has segment list {902, 300} including Anycast SID 902 and traverses the red plane before reaching PE3.
- Service 2 at PE2 has segment list {901, 400} including Anycast SID 901 and traverses the blue plane before reaching PE4.




Use case 4: Egress peer engineering (EPE) (1)

- Egress Peer Engineering defines three BGP Peering SIDs, that allow for programming of source-routed inter-domain paths; PeerNodeSID, PeerAdjSID, and PeerSetSID.
- R1 is an EPE-enabled egress router and allocates the following:
 - PeerNode segment for each of its defined peers (R7, R8, and R9)
 - PeerAdj segment for each recursive interface to a multi-hop peer (R9)
 - PeerSet segment to a set of peers (R7 and R8) (AS300)



Use case 4: Egress peer engineering (EPE) (2)


- BGP-LS session established between EPE-enabled border router (R1) and the EPE controller:
 - R1 advertises PeerNode, PeerAdj, and PeerSet SIDs using SR extensions to BGP-LS, and programmes FIB accordingly.
- EPE Controller programmes source-routes from ingress routers to EBGP peers using FlowSpec/OpenFlow;
 - 80% traffic to AS 300 with segment list {100, 1005}
 - 20% traffic to AS 200 with segment list {100, 1006}
 - Prefix <NLRI/Length> segment list {100, 1003}
 - Prefix <NLRI/Length> segment list {100, 1004}



Incoming Label	Operation	Outgoing Interface
1001	POP	Link to R7
1002	POP	Link to R8
1003	POP	Upper link to R9
1004	POP	Lower link to R9
1005	POP	Load-balance on any link to R9
1006	POP	Load-balance on any link to R7 or R8

Use case 5: Adjacency segment load-balancing (1)


- In this example, two adjacencies exist between P1-P2.
- Assuming capacity-based metrics are in use, the 10G link between P1 and P2 is unused for shortest path forwarding.



Use case 5: Adjacency segment load-balancing (2)


- Adj-SID TLV provides the capability to load-balance across multiple adjacencies.

- P1 advertises individual Adj-SIDs for the 10G link (1001) with weight 1, and 40G link (1002) with weight 4.
- P1 also advertises an Adj-SID for the adjacency set (1003)
- PE1 pushes segment list {200, 1003, 800}. Node-SID 200 gets the traffic to P1, while Adj-SID 1003 load-balances the traffic to P2 on a weighted 4:1 basis.




Use case 6: Distributed cspf-based traffic engineering (1)

- Traffic Engineering information made available to CSPF for RSVP-TE based LSPs can also be made available to SR tunnels
 - Includes available link bandwidth, admin-groups, shared-risk link groups (SRLGs) etc.
- In the example topology, assume that link P1-P2 is in SRLG 1.
 - The SRLG information is flooded into IS-IS (RFC 4874) or OSPF (RFC 4203).



Use case 6: Distributed cspf-based traffic engineering (2)

- If PE1 computes a CSPF to PE2 for a path that should avoid SRLG 1, it first prunes the links signalled as belonging to that SRLG (i.e. link P1-P2) from the topology.
- From the remaining topology, it computes a path – in this simple case, the path PE1-P1-P3-P4-P2-PE2.
- PE1 therefore imposes the segment list {200, 500, 600, 300, 800}, or even {500, 600, 800}.




Use case 7: Seamless MPLS and segment routing (1)

End-to-end scaling integrating SR/LDP/RSVP-TE

- SR can be seen as alternative for LDP and RSVP-TE. This means that the same scaling requirements will remain in case of an E2E MPLS coverage in a multi-area/instance domain.
- Seamless MPLS could be used to cross area or AS boundary, similar to what is available today with LDP and/or RSVP-TE. This approach has some clear advantages:
 - Smooth migration with existing MPLS domains
 - BGP is a field-proven scalable protocol
 - Non-SR nodes can still connect to a SR MPLS domain


Use case 7: Seamless MPLS and segment routing (2)

End-to-end scaling integrating SR/LDP/RSVP-TE



Use case 8: Service creation with a path computation element (PCE)

Co-routed service node provisioning



Step 1

OSS provisions diverse services on PE's


- a. Type of service: VPWS
- b. Local attachment circuits (SAPs)
- c. Tunnel endpoints: Remote and Local
- d. Tunnel type: Segment Routing, RSVP
- e. Path constraints: Bandwidth, Co-

Step 2

- a. PE makes path computation request (PCReq), or path computation status report (PCRpt) to the PCE server
- b. Note: Requires further extension to PCEP to signal path diversity with other services.

Use case 8: Service creation with a path computation element (PCE) (Cont.)

Computed service node provisioning



Step 3


- PCE computes and downloads the paths for the tunnel set.
- PE's bind service to paths

Step 4

- PCE monitors LSP stats and re-optimises tunnels as required, downloading new paths to PE routers (same PLSP-ID)
- PE performs make-before-break and moves to the new path.

Use case 9: Service creation with a path computation element (PCE)

Global bandwidth optimisation



Step 1

OSS provisions parallel infrastructure tunnels between a pair of PE nodes

- Type of service: VPRN, VPLS, VPWS
- Local attachment circuits (SAPs)
- Tunnel endpoints: Remote and Local
- Tunnel type: Segment Routing, RSVP


e. Path constraints: min/max

Step 2

- PE makes path computation request (PCReq), or path computation status report (PCRpt) to the PCE server with path diversity constraints among the parallel set of tunnels.
- This may use the SVEC object of PCEP (per RFC 5440) to perform a set of dependent path computation requests.

Use case 9: Service creation with a path computation element (PCE)

Global bandwidth optimisation



deployment options

Deployment options

Two broad categories

- Greenfields:
 - Relatively straightforward
 - Requires “new” software with segment routing capabilities
 - Opportunity to bypass LDP or RSVP-TE altogether
 - Care needs to be taken to ensure that all service types, resiliency mechanisms and traffic-engineering capabilities can be supported over segment routed tunnels
- Existing networks:
 - Similar to greenfields with added considerations:
 - Ability to introduce without disruption to existing services
 - Co-existence with LDP and/or RSVP-TE where deployed; “ships in the night” operation required
 - Option to only build new services with segment routed tunnels, leaving existing services on existing tunnels
 - Migration to an SR-only network


Segment routing and LDP inter-operability

- If an MPLS Control Plane Client (i.e. LDP, RSVP, BGP, SR) installs forwarding entries into the MPLS data-plane, those entries need to be unique in order to function as “Ships in the Night”.
- It’s also likely that these control planes can and will co-exist. For example, LDP and SR could co-exist, where:
 - LDP and SR are present on all routers in the network. Preference for LDP or SR for service tunnels is a local matter at the head-end. SR can also be used to enhance FRR coverage.
 - SR is only present in parts of the network. LDP and SR can be interworked to provide an end-to-end tunnel and/or an FRR tunnel due to the presence of an SR Mapping Server (SRMS).

Segment routing and LDP inter-operability

Scenario 1: Ships-in-the-night co-existence

- Co-existence of LDP-based and SR-based services in the same network
- Requirements:
 - Service 1 to be tunneled via LDP
 - Service 1 to be tunneled via SR
 - Penultimate Hop Popping (PHP) to be used for both services




Segment routing and LDP inter-operability

Scenario 1: Ships-in-the-night co-existence (cont.)

- Outcome:

- Service 1 is tunneled from PE1 to PE3 through a continuous LDP LSP traversing P1, P2 and P3.
- Service 2 is tunneled from PE2 to PE4 through a continuous SR node segment traversing P1, P2 and P3.




Segment routing and LDP inter-operability

Scenario 1: Ships-in-the-night co-existence (cont.)

- Possible to have multiple entries in the MPLS data plane for the same prefix.

Node P1's MPLS forwarding table

FEC	Incomin g Label	Outgoing Label	Next- Hop
192.0.2.203/32 (LDP)	423	700	P2
192.0.2.203/32 (SR)	204	204	4




Segment routing and LDP inter-operability

Scenario 2: Migration from LDP to SR

- **Stage 1:**

- All routers initially run only LDP. All services are tunneled from the ingress PE to the egress PEs over a continuous LDP LSP.




Segment routing and LDP inter-operability

Scenario 2: Migration from LDP to SR (cont.)

- **Stage 2:**

- All the routers are upgraded to SR. They are configured with the SRGB range [100, 300]. PE1, PE2, PE3, PE4, P5, P6 and P7 are configured with the node segments 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106 and 107, respectively.
- Service traffic is still tunneled over LDP LSPs. For example, PE1 has an SR node segment to PE3 and an LDP LSP to PE3 but the LDP IP2MPLS encapsulation is preferred, by default or by via configuration.




Segment routing and LDP inter-operability

Scenario 2: Migration from LDP to SR (cont.)

- **Stage 3:**

- Local policy at PE1 is configured to prefer SR encapsulation over LDP.
- The service from PE1 to any other PE is now riding over SR. All other service traffic is still transported over LDP LSPs.




Segment routing and LDP inter-operability

Scenario 2: Migration from LDP to SR (cont.)

• Stage 4:

- Gradually, all edge routers are configured to prefer SR over LDP encapsulation.
- All the service traffic is now transported over SR.
- LDP is still operational and services could be reverted to LDP should there be any issues.




Segment routing and LDP inter-operability

Scenario 2: Migration from LDP to SR (cont.)

- **Stage 5:**


- After a period of smooth operation, LDP can be de-configured from all routers.
- All routers now solely run SR



Segment routing and LDP inter-operability

Scenario 3: Mix of SR-only and LDP-only routers (SR and LDP inter-working)

- One or more SRMS are used to advertise Node-SIDs on behalf of non-SR routers. For example, R4 advertises Node-SIDs 201, and 202, respectively for the LDP-only routers A, and B.
- A forwards to R1 using conventional LDP. R1 does not have a LDP label binding for its next-hop R2, but does have an SR Node-SID, so it swaps its local LDP-label for FEC B to Node-SID 202 and forwards to R2.
- R3 knows that B is not SR-capable (as B did not advertise SR capability in ISIS/OSPF), so R3 swaps Node-SID 202 for LDP FEC B.




Segment routing and LDP inter-working

Scenario 4: Using SR to provide LDP fast reroute

- A similar methodology to LDP-SR interworking can be used to provide FRR coverage:

- Potential for increased coverage where SR is present only in parts of the network.
- Full coverage if SR is present on all routers in the network (in which case no Mapping Server is required).




Segment routing and LDP inter-working

Scenario 4: Using SR to provide LDP fast reroute (cont.)

- In the example shown, LDP is used throughout the network, and SR has only partial coverage (routers R1-R7).

- R4 is SRMS and advertises Node-SID 201, 202, 203 respectively for the LDP-only routers A, B, and C.
- Router A has services to B and C. LDP is the preferred transport protocol and is used by the head-end, router A (local decision).
- Objective is to protect link R2-R1 for service A, and link R2-R3 for service B.


LDP FEC	Incoming Label	Outgoing Label	Outgoing Next-Hop
B	Advertised by R2	Advertised by R1	R1
C	Advertised by R2	Advertised by R3	R3



Segment routing and LDP inter-working

Scenario 4: Using SR to provide LDP fast reroute (cont.)


- Protecting service 1
 - Objective is to protect link R2-R1 with an LFA for B (Service 1).
 - Routers R1-R7 advertise Node-SID and Adjacency-SIDs for its IGP adjacencies. R4 is acting as Mapping Server for A, B, and C.
 - In steady-state, LDP is used as the preferred transport tunnel for Service 1 (A-R2-R1-B).



Segment routing and LDP inter-working

Scenario 4: Using SR to provide LDP fast reroute (cont.)


- Protecting service 1 (cont.)
 - Upon failure of link R2-R1, R2 swaps the incoming top (LDP) label with the Node-SID for B (202). R2 then sends the packet into a repair tunnel to R4.
 - R2 forwards the label stack {104, 202} to R5.
 - R5 pops Node-SID 104 (PHP) and forwards the packet to R4.
 - R4 swaps label 202 for 202 and forwards to R1. R1's Next-Hop to B is not SR-capable, so R1 swaps 202 for the LDP label announced by it's Next-Hop (in this case, implicit-null).



Segment routing and LDP inter-working

Scenario 4: Using SR to provide LDP fast reroute (cont.)

- Protecting service 2
 - Objective is to protect link R2-R3 with an LFA for C (Service 2).
 - In steady-state, LDP is used as the preferred transport tunnel for Service 2 (A-R2-R3-C).




Segment routing and LDP inter-working

Scenario 4: Using SR to provide LDP fast reroute (cont.)

• Protecting service 2 (cont.)

- Upon failure of link R2-R3, R2 swaps the incoming top (LDP) label with the Node-SID for C (203). R2 then sends the packet into a repair tunnel to R6 with Node-SID 106 followed by Adj-SID 1009.
- R2 forwards the label stack {106, 1009, 203} to R5.
- R5 pops 106 (PHP) and forwards the packet to R6.
- R6 pops Adj-SID 1009 and forwards the packet to R7.
- R7 swaps 203 for 203 and forwards to R3.
- R3's Next-Hop to C is not SR-capable, so R3 swaps 203 for the LDP label announced by it's Next-Hop (in this case, implicit-null).

Dest.	Incoming Label	Outgoing Label	Outgoing Next-Hop	Backup Outgoing Label	Backup Outgoing Next-Hop
C	Advertised by R2	Advertised by R3	R3	203 (C N-SID)	Repair tunnel to R6: {106, 1009} Next-Hop R5



igp extensions for segment routing

Router information

Segment routing capability

- IS-IS and OSPF both have “Router Information” extensions to advertise optional capabilities:
 - Opaque “Router Information” LSA in OSPF (RFC 4970) carrying Router Information Capability TLV.
 - Capability TLV (TLV-242) in IS-IS (RFC 4971) with optional Sub-TLVs.
- Intended to indicate capabilities such as Graceful Restart, TE, OSPF stub, IS-IS mesh group, etc.
- Flooding scope:
 - IS-IS: across domain, and may be leaked between levels (indicated by “S” flag).
 - OSPF: link-scoped (type 9), area-scoped (type 10), or AS-scoped (type 11).

Router information

Segment routing capability

- Extended to indicate support for Segment Routing:
 - SR-Capabilities Sub-TLV (IS-IS) or SID/Label Range TLV (OSPF)
 - Used to indicate label range and start number.
 - SR-Algorithm Sub-TLV used to advertise algorithms used for path calculation (SPF, CSPF etc).
 - Currently only SPF (value 0) defined.

IS-IS SR-Capabilities Sub-TLV



Type	Length	Flags	Range
Range (cont.)		SID/Label Sub-TLV (variable)	

OSPF SID/Label Range TLV

Type	Length	
Range Size		Reserved
Sub-TLVs (variable)		

(Carries a SID/Label Sub-TLV to represent first SID/Label from the advertised range).

IS-IS extensions

Prefix-SID sub-TLV

- Introduction of Prefix-SID SUB-TLV, which may be present in either:
 - TLV-135 (IPv4), TLV-235 (MT-IPv4)
 - TLV-236 (IPv6), TLV-237 (MT-IPv6)
- SID/Index/Label contains either:
 - A 32-bit index defining the offset in the SID/Label space advertised by this router
 - A 24-bit label, where the 20 rightmost bits are used for encoding the label value
 - A variable length SID (i.e. An IPv6 address SID)



Type	Length	Flags	Algorithm
SID/Index/Label (variable)			

Flag	Meaning
R-flag	Re-advertisement flag. If set, the prefix to which this Prefix-SID is attached has been propagated by the router either from another level (L2 to L1 or vice-versa) or from redistribution.
N-flag	Node-SID flag. If set, the Prefix-SID refers to the router identified by the prefix (router loopback/system address). The prefix to which the SID is attached must have a prefix length of /32 (IPv4) or /128 (IPv6)
P-flag	No-PHP flag. If set, the penultimate hop must not pop the Prefix-SID before delivering the packet to the advertising router.
E-flag	Explicit-Null flag. If set, any upstream neighbour of the Prefix-SID originator must replace the Prefix-SID with a Prefix-SID having an Explicit-Null value before forwarding the packet.
V-flag	Value flag. If set, the Prefix-SID carries an absolute value (instead of an index)
L-flag	Local flag. If set, the value/index carried by the Prefix-SID has local significance.

IS-IS extensions

Adjacency-SID sub-TLV

- May be present in one of the IS-Neighbour TLVs.
- Weight field is used for the purpose of load-balancing across a number of adjacencies.
- Multiple Adj-SIDs can be allocated to a single Adjacency, or the same Adj-SID can be allocated to multiple adjacencies.
 - Used for load-balancing
- SID/Index/Label contains either:
 - A 32-bit index defining the offset in the SID/Label space advertised by this router
 - A 24-bit label, where the 20 rightmost bits are used for encoding the label value
 - A variable length SID (i.e. An IPv6 address SID)



Type	Length	Flags	Weight
SID/Index/Label (variable)			

Flag	Meaning
F-flag	Address-Family flag. If unset the Adj-SID refers to an adjacency with IPv4 encapsulation. If set, the Adj-SID refers to an adjacency with IPv6 encapsulation.
B-flag	Backup flag. If set, the Adj-SID refers to an adjacency that is being protected (using Fast Reroute techniques). This allows a head-end SR router to select only links that are protected throughout the domain if the SLA for the SR tunnel dictates this.
V-flag	Value flag. If set, the Adj-SID carries a value (default is set).
L-flag	Local flag. If set, the value/index carried by the Prefix-SID has local significance.
S-flag	Set Flag. When set, indicates that the Adj-SID refers to a set of adjacencies (and therefore may be assigned to other adjacencies as well).

IS-IS extensions

SID/label binding TLV

- May be originated by any router in an SR domain to advertise a SID/Label to FEC binding along with a 'next-hop style' anchor.
 - Allows to advertise bindings from external protocols.
 - Can support more than one 'next-hop' anchor to create a path description analogous to an RSVP Explicit-Route Object (ERO).



Type	Length	Flags	Weight
Range		Prefix Length	FEC Prefix
// FEC Prefix (continued, variable) //			
Sub-TLVs (variable)			

Flag	Meaning
F-flag	Address-Family flag. If unset the Prefix FEC carries an IPv4 prefix. If set, the Prefix FEC carries an IPv6 prefix.
M-flag	Mirror Context flag. Set if the advertised SID/path corresponds to a mirrored context. Mirroring allows an SR router to advertise a backup path for a service (edge) segment, allowing for local (next-hop) repair using context-based switching

IS-IS extensions

SID/label binding TLV (cont.)

- Sub-TLVs field may contain:
 - SID/Label sub-TLV containing a SID/MPLS label.
 - ERO Metric sub-TLV used to compare the cost of a given source/destination path.
 - IPv4 or IPv6 ERO sub-TLV and backup ERO sub-TLV, containing a list of strict or loose hops from source to destination for primary and backup paths.
 - Unnumbered Interface ID ERO sub-TLV, containing interface index + router-Id to disambiguate from other unnumbered interfaces.



Type	Length	Flags	Weight
Range		Prefix Length	FEC Prefix
// FEC Prefix (continued, variable) //			
Sub-TLVs (variable)			

Flag	Meaning
Weight	Represents the weight of the path for the purpose of load-balancing.
Range	Provides a compression scheme allowing a router to advertise a contiguous set of prefixes and their corresponding contiguous SID/label block.
Prefix Length	Contains the length of the prefix in bits.
FEC Prefix	The FEC at the tail-end of the advertised path. The FEC Prefix does not need to correspond to a routable prefix of the originating node.

OSPF extensions

Extended prefix opaque LSA

- Introduction of new Extended Prefix Opaque LSA defined to advertise additional prefix attributes.
 - Format of TLVs within the body of the LSA is the same format as used by TE-Extensions to OSPF.
 - Extended Prefix TLV used to advertise additional attributes associated with the prefix.

Field	Description
Route Type	0=unspecified, 1=intra-area, 2=inter-area, 5=external, 7=NSSA external
Prefix Length	Length of the prefix
AF	0=IPv4 unicast
Address Prefix	Prefix encoded as an even multiple of 32-bit words

OSPF Extended Prefix Opaque LSA

LS Age		Options		
Opaque Type (7)		Instance		
Advertising Router				
LS Sequence Number				
LS Checksum		Length		
TLVs (variable)				


OSPF Extended Prefix TLV

Type		Length	
Route Type	Prefix Length	AF	Reserved
Address Prefix (variable)			
Sub-TLVs (variable)			

OSPF extensions

Prefix-SID sub-TLV


- The Prefix SID Sub-TLV is a Sub-TLV of the OSPF Extended Prefix TLV.
- Support for Multi-Topology with MT-ID field.
- Algorithm specifies algorithm the Prefix-SID is associated with:
 - May also be carried in SR-Algorithm TLV of Router Information Opaque LSA.
 - Currently only IGP-based SPF defined (value 0).



OSPF extensions

Prefix-SID sub-TLV (cont.)


- Range allows for distribution of a contiguous prefix block and corresponding contiguous SID/label block. Range size >1 represents the number of addresses mapped into a Prefix-SID
- SID/Index/Label value contains either:
 - A 32-bit index defining the offset in the SID/Label space advertised by this router
 - A 24-bit label, where the 20 rightmost bits are used for encoding the label value



OSPF extensions

SID/label binding sub-TLV

- The SID/Label binding Sub-TLV is a Sub-TLV of the OSPF Extended Prefix LSA.
- It may be originated by any router in an SR domain to advertise a SID/Label to FEC binding along with at least one 'next-hop style' anchor.
 - Allows to advertise bindings from external protocols
 - Can support more than one 'next-hop' anchor to create a path description analogous to an RSVP ERO.




Flag	Meaning
M-flag	Mirror Context flag. Set if the advertised SID/path corresponds to a mirrored context.

OSPF extensions

SID/label binding sub-TLV (cont.)

- Sub-TLVs field may contain:
 - SID/Label sub-TLV containing a SID/MPLS label.
 - ERO Metric sub-TLV used to compare the cost of a given source/destination path.
 - IPv4 or IPv6 ERO sub-TLV and backup ERO sub-TLV, containing a list of strict or loose hops from source to destination for primary and backup paths.
 - Unnumbered Interface ID ERO sub-TLV, containing interface index + router-Id to disambiguate from other unnumbered interfaces.



Flag	Meaning
MT-ID	Support of Multi-Topology OSPF
Weight	Represents the weight of the path for the purpose of load-balancing.
Range Size	Provides a compression scheme allowing a router to advertise a contiguous set of prefixes and their corresponding contiguous SID/label block. Value >1 represents the number of addresses mapped to the Prefix-SID

OSPF extensions

Extended link opaque LSA

- New Opaque LSA defined to advertise additional link attributes.
- Format of TLVs within the body of the LSA is the same format as used by TE-Extensions to OSPF.
 - Extended Link TLV used to advertise additional attributes associated with the prefix (one for each Extended Link Opaque LSA).

Field	Description
Link Type	1=Point-to-Point connection to another router, 2=Connection to a transit network, 3=Connection to a sub network, 4=Virtual link
Link ID	1=Point-to-Point connection to another router, 2=Connection to a transit network, 3=Connection to a sub network, 4=Virtual link
Link Data	Value depends on link's type field. See RFC 2328 Section A.4.2

OSPF Extended Link Opaque LSA

LS Age		Options	
Opaque Type (8)	Instance		
Advertising Router			
LS Sequence Number			
LS Checksum		Length	
TLVs (variable)			


OSPF Extended Link TLV

Type		Length	
Link Type	Reserved		
Link ID			
Link Data			
Sub-TLVs (variable)			

OSPF extensions

Adjacency-SID sub-TLV

- Adj-SID is an optional Sub-TLV of the Extended Link TLV and may appear multiple times in the Extended Link TLV.
- Support for Multi-Topology with MT-ID field.
- Weight field is used for the purpose of load-balancing across a number of adjacencies.
- Multiple Adj-SIDs can be allocated to a single Adjacency, or the same Adj-SID can be allocated to multiple adjacencies.
 - Used for load-balancing
- SID/Index/Label contains either:
 - A 32-bit index defining the offset in the SID/Label space advertised by this router
 - A 24-bit label, where the 20 rightmost bits are used for encoding the label value



Flag	Meaning
B-flag	Backup flag. If set, the Adj-SID refers to an adjacency that is being protected (using Fast Reroute techniques). This allows a head-end SR router to select only links that are protected throughout the domain if the SLA for the SR tunnel dictates this.
V-flag	Value flag. If set, the Adj=SID carries an absolute value (default is set).
L-flag	Local flag. If set, the value/index carried by the Prefix-SID has local significance. If not set, the value/index carried by this sub-TLV has global significance
S-flag	Set Flag. When set, indicates that the Adj-SID refers to a set of adjacencies (and therefore may be assigned to other adjacencies as well).

NOKIA