# GDPR DISCUSSIONS within ICANN and GAC

11 SEPTEMBER 2018

NOUMEA

## ICANN's dilemma

#### ICANN's dilemma

Essence: Global ICANN policy VS Regional EU rules

Conflicted parts: WHOIS articles in RA and RAA

Punishment if not compliance with GDPR: Non-compliance with an order by the supervisory authority as referred to in Article 58(2) shall, in accordance with paragraph 2 of this Article, be subject to administrative fines up to 20 000 000 EUR, or in the case of an undertaking, up to 4 % of the total worldwide annual turnover of the preceding financial year, whichever is higher.

## ICANN org move forward to find the solution

Starting from May of 2017, ICANN org move forward to find the solution:

- 1. volunteer group discussions
- 2. ICANN engaged the European law firm Hamilton to provide legal analysis regarding GDPR
- 3. Engaged with Article 29 and DPAs for communications
- 4. Gathering public comments for GDPR compliance proposal

#### **Interim Proposal (12 January 2018)**

		ICANN Mod				CANN Model 2 al and Natural		ICANN	Model 3		
Registrant		Natural person		Legal person persons		Legal and natural pe		ersons			
Domain Name Display		Display		Display I		Display		lay			
Registrar WHOIS Ser			·	ICANN Mode		Legal		NN Model 2 and Natural	ICANN Model 3		
Registrar URL Registrant Updated Date Registrant City						gal person pers			Legal and natural per		
Creation Date				1 ' '		play	Do not display		Display unless field in	•	
Registry Expiry Data	Registrant State/Province			Display Dis		play	Do no	t display	Display unless field in	cludes personal data	
Registrar Registratio	Registrant Country					IC	ANN N	lodel 1	ICANN Model 2	ICANN Model 3	
Expiration Date									Legal and Natural		
Registrar	_	it Phone Ext	•	Registrant		Natural person		Legal person	persons	Legal and natural	
Registrar IANA ID	Registran		Tech Postal Code Tech Country Tech Phone			Do not disp		Display	Do not display		d includes personal data
Registrar Abuse Con	Registran					Do not disp	lay	Display	Do not display		d includes personal data
Registrar Abuse Con	_					Display		Display	Do not display		d includes personal data
Phone	Registrant Email Admin Name		Tech Phone Ext			Display Display		Display	Do not display		d includes personal data
Reseller		rganization	Tech Fax					Display	Do not display		d includes personal data
Domain Status	Admin St	_		Tech Fax Ext Tech Email Name Server Name Server DNSSEC		Display		Display	Do not display	Display unless field includes personal data	
Domain Status	Admin Ci					Display		Display	Display		d includes personal data
Domain Status		ate/Province				Display		Display	Display	Display	
Registry Registrant II		stal Code				Display		Display	Display	Display	
Registrant Name	Admin Co					Display	Display		Display	Display	
Registrant Organizat	Admin Phone		DNSSEC Uispiay		I DIS	Display play	i Do no	Display t display	Display	Display	
Registrant Street	Admin Ph			Display	Display		l	t display	Display unless field in		
	Admin Fa	Admin Fax Admin Fax Ext Admin Email Tech Name		Display		play Do no		t display	Display unless field in	•	
	Admin Fa			Display				t display	Display unless field in	•	
	Admin En			Display	Dis	play	Displa		Display unless field includes personal data		
	Tech Nan			1 ' '		play	Do not display		Display unless field includes personal data		
Tech		ganization		Do not display	Dis	play Do		t display	Display unless field in	cludes personal data	
	Tech Stre	Tech Street				play	Do not display		Display unless field in	cludes personal data	
Tech Cit Tech Sta		у				play	Do not display		Display unless field in	cludes personal data	
		n State/Province		Do not display Dis		play Do no		t display	Display unless field includes personal data		

#### "the cookbook" (8 March 2018)

## Sample of Minimum WHOIS Output suggested in the Cookbook

WHOIS Data Fields	ICANN Interim Compliance Model Legal and Natural persons			
Admin Postal Code	Do not display			
Admin Country	Do not display			
Admin Phone	Do not display			
Admin Phone Ext	Do not display			
Admin Fax	Do not display			
Admin Fax Ext	Do not display			
Admin Email	Anonymized email or web form			
Registry Tech ID	Do not display			
Tech Name	Do not display			
Tech Organization	Do not display			
Tech Street	Do not display			
Tech City	Do not display			
Tech State/Province	Do not display			
Tech Postal Code	Do not display			
Tech Country	Do not display			
Tech Phone	Do not display			
Tech Phone Ext	Do not display			
Tech Fax	Do not display			
Tech Fax Ext	Do not display			
Tech Email	Anonymized email or web form			
Name Server	Display			
Name Server	Display			
DNSSEC	Display			
DNSSEC	Display			
URL of ICANN Whois Inaccuracy Complaint Form	Display			
>>> Last update of WHOIS database	Display			

WHOIS Data Fields	ICANN Interim Compliance Model
	Legal and Natural persons
Domain Name	Display
Registry Domain ID	Display
Registrar WHOIS Server	Display
Registrar URL	Display
Updated Date	Display
Creation Date	Display
Registry Expiry Data	Display
Registrar Registration Expiration Date	Display
Registrar	Display
Registrar IANA ID	Display
Registrar Abuse Contact Email	Display
Registrar Abuse Contact Phone	Display
Reseller	Display
Domain Status	Display
Domain Status	Display
Domain Status	Display
Registry Registrant ID	Do not display
Registrant Name	Do not display
Registrant Organization	Display
Registrant Street	Do not display
Registrant City	Do not display
Registrant State/Province	Display
Registrant Postal Code	Do not display
Registrant Country	Display
Registrant Phone	Do not display
Registrant Phone Ext	Do not display
Registrant Fax	Do not display
Registrant Fax Ext	Do not display
Registrant Email	Anonymized email or web form
Registry Admin ID	Do not display
Admin Name	Do not display
Admin Organization	Do not display
Admin Street	Do not display
Admin City	Do not display
Admin State/Province	Do not display

#### **TEMP SPEC(25 May 2018)**

- □ Temporary Specification: In place from 25 May 2018 for 12 months
- □ Temporary Specification for gTLD Registration Data (Temporary Specification) establishes temporary requirements to allow ICANN and gTLD registry operators and registrars to continue to comply with existing ICANN contractual requirements and community-developed policies in light of the GDPR.
- Temp Spec renewal every 90 days

#### Initiation of EPDP(19 July 2018)

the Generic Names Supporting Organization (GNSO) Council initiated the Expedited Policy Development Process (EPDP) on the Temporary Specification for gTLD Registration Data.

GNSO process but with participation from other AC/SO.



## The positions of each group

#### The positions of each group in ICANN

Rough Consensus: compliance with GDPR

ICANN Org: proposal from community, recognition by gov(EU)

ICANN board: proposal from community, recognition by gov(EU), call on GAC rep. engagement with their DPAs

Contracted parities (registry and registrar): ICANN org or ICANN Board should find a way to solve the problem; ICANN not punishment on violation of RA and RAA in relation to GDPR compliance

IP protection community: continue to access to WHOIS for IP protection

GAC and GAC members: GAC rep. as liaison to DPAs but not in position to approve ICANN compliance proposal, maintain the current WHOIS structure, continue to access to WHOIS with legitimate purpose(BEFORE 25 MAY)

#### GAC discussion around GDPR

Abu Dhabi communiqué (Nov 2017)

San Juan Communiqué (Mar 2018)

Panama Communiqué (June 2018)

## GAC discussion around GDPR

#### **General Positions of the GAC**

The GAC highlights the importance of complying with the European General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), encourages ICANN to continue its efforts to ensure full and timely compliance with GDPR while involving the multi-stakeholder community and European data protection authorities. ICANN应当遵循GDPR规定

to maintain, to the greatest extent possible, the current structure of the WHOIS. 现有WHOIS的架构不能变(该采集的数据继续采集)

The GAC does not envision an operational role in designing and implementing the proposed accreditation programs but reiterates its willingness to advise the Board and engage with ICANN Org and the community on the development of codes of conduct from a public policy perspective. 无意承担"许可"和"实名制"责任,但从公共政策角度提建议

The GAC notes the opportunity for individual governments, if they wish to do so, to provide information to ICANN on governmental users to ensure continued access to WHOIS.

一些政府仍然需要获取WHOIS信息,一些政府担心出现不能获取WHOIS的时间段

## GAC discussion around GDPR

#### **GAC EPDP Small Group**

GAC EPDP Small Group in place: US, IRAN, INDIA, UK...

- GAC Small Group activities
- Formal GAC Early Input into EPDP published (7 September)
- Small Group reviewing other Early Input provided by SSAC,
   NCSG, ALAC, BC, IPC, RySG

## Thank you for listening! 谢谢聆听!