


### Q1 How satisfied were you with the conference venue?

Answered: 42 Skipped: 1




Answer Choices	Responses	
5 - Very satisfied	28.57%	12
4 - Satisfied	54.76%	23
3 - Neutral	14.29%	6
2 - Dissatisfied	0%	0
1 - Very dissatisfied	2.38%	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>42</b>

#	Comments:	Date
1	Can be quite confusing over 3 floors.	3/6/2014 7:58 PM
2	It was a little bit hard to find rooms at the beginning but it was fine after getting used to it.	3/4/2014 3:28 PM
3	Great chance for the operators community to mix with regional CERTs	3/4/2014 8:54 AM
4	Venue was good.	3/2/2014 9:10 PM
5	The rooms are quite scattered which affects the traffic to booths	3/1/2014 3:33 PM
6	Sometimes the location of rooms / floors were confusing but was satisfied with the overall quality of the rooms and venue itself.	2/28/2014 8:13 PM
7	Could use more signage	2/27/2014 10:36 PM

## Q2 How satisfied were you with the conference catering?

Answered: 42 Skipped: 1




Answer Choices	Responses	
5 - Very satisfied	23.81%	10
4 - Satisfied	47.62%	20
3 - Neutral	21.43%	9
2 - Dissatisfied	7.14%	3
1 - Very dissatisfied	0%	0
<b>Total</b>		<b>42</b>

#	Comments:	Date
1	Tea/Coffee and snacks always available made it easy to jump between sessions without worrying about missing breaks and connect with others.	3/13/2014 12:49 PM
2	Good spread for different attendees.	3/6/2014 7:58 PM
3	Food was excellent. Everything was good including desserts. It does help people socialize happily!	3/4/2014 3:28 PM
4	Lack of variety and poor quality. Hotel did not turn around the tables fast enough.	3/3/2014 5:36 PM
5	The food wasn't diversified like APRICOT 2013	3/2/2014 9:10 PM
6	Coffee breaks are good. Didn't try lunch.	3/1/2014 3:33 PM
7	Enjoyed many coffee/tea breaks than most lunch	2/27/2014 10:36 PM
8	Could not eat some of the food.	2/27/2014 8:07 PM

### Q3 How satisfied were you with the quality of the keynote presentations?

Answered: 42 Skipped: 1




	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	Did not attend	Total	Average Rating
Prof Kanchana Kanchanasut	19.05% 8	38.10% 16	26.19% 11	0% 0	0% 0	16.67% 7	42	3.91
Dr Nii Quaynor	16.67% 7	50% 21	16.67% 7	0% 0	0% 0	16.67% 7	42	4.00
Pindar Wong	19.05% 8	52.38% 22	14.29% 6	0% 0	0% 0	14.29% 6	42	4.06

#	Comments:	Date
1	Geoff Huston talks are also great. More talks by him on research topics at APNIC would be welcome.	3/13/2014 12:49 PM
2	Linear highlights real issues we have to deal with.	3/6/2014 7:58 PM
3	Amazing and inspiring people. Great selections.	2/28/2014 8:13 PM

### Q4 How satisfied were you with the quality of the program sessions?

Answered: 42 Skipped: 1



	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	Did not attend	Total	Average Rating
Anatomy of a CGN	9.52% 4	19.05% 8	16.67% 7	0% 0	0% 0	54.76% 23	42	3.84
Governance to Cooperation	14.29% 6	28.57% 12	19.05% 8	4.76% 2	0% 0	33.33% 14	42	3.79
APOPS Sessions	21.43% 9	30.95% 13	11.90% 5	0% 0	0% 0	35.71% 15	42	4.15
Infrastructure Session	16.67% 7	33.33% 14	4.76% 2	0% 0	0% 0	45.24% 19	42	4.22
Security Session	11.90% 5	26.19% 11	7.14% 3	0% 0	0% 0	54.76% 23	42	4.11
Peering Forums	21.43% 9	30.95% 13	11.90% 5	0% 0	0% 0	35.71% 15	42	4.15


## APRICOT 2014 Conference Evaluation Survey

APNIC PPAC	<b>7.14%</b> 3	<b>16.67%</b> 7	<b>11.90%</b> 5	<b>0%</b> 0	<b>4.76%</b> 2	<b>59.52%</b> 25	42	3.53
APCERT Sessions	<b>11.90%</b> 5	<b>23.81%</b> 10	<b>9.52%</b> 4	<b>2.38%</b> 1	<b>0%</b> 0	<b>52.38%</b> 22	42	3.95
ISOC NetOps Workshops	<b>21.43%</b> 9	<b>26.19%</b> 11	<b>4.76%</b> 2	<b>0%</b> 0	<b>0%</b> 0	<b>47.62%</b> 20	42	4.32
Policy SIG	<b>9.52%</b> 4	<b>30.95%</b> 13	<b>14.29%</b> 6	<b>0%</b> 0	<b>0%</b> 0	<b>45.24%</b> 19	42	3.91
DNS Session	<b>14.29%</b> 6	<b>30.95%</b> 13	<b>4.76%</b> 2	<b>0%</b> 0	<b>0%</b> 0	<b>50%</b> 21	42	4.19
ICANN Update	<b>9.52%</b> 4	<b>33.33%</b> 14	<b>16.67%</b> 7	<b>0%</b> 0	<b>2.38%</b> 1	<b>38.10%</b> 16	42	3.77

#	Comments:	Date
1	I was intereted in attending Anatomy of a CGN, DNS session and APCERT related sessions but it clashed with the session I needed to attend. Very hard to chose which one to attend which may be a positive within to have multiple good choices.	3/4/2014 3:28 PM
2	I believe the PPAC should be closed as there is no broad consensus for it. ICANN - not interested	3/2/2014 9:07 AM
3	The governance session could have allow more time for floor interaction and it should cover broader issues than ICANN	3/1/2014 3:33 PM
4	Why NIR-SIG did not within those items?	2/28/2014 11:29 AM
5	I only made comment on what I attended.	2/28/2014 12:18 AM
6	PPAC seems to be a nothing but a platform for promotion of individual members of a very obvious "club"; it does not seem to have genuine community support. I am not opposed in principle, but the current arrangement should not be continued.	2/27/2014 8:17 PM

### Q5 How satisfied were you with the quality of the tutorials?

Answered: 42 Skipped: 1



	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	Did not attend	Total	Average Rating
Internet Resource Management	4.76% 2	19.05% 8	4.76% 2	0% 0	2.38% 1	69.05% 29	42	3.77
DNS/DNSSEC	14.29% 6	28.57% 12	4.76% 2	0% 0	2.38% 1	50% 21	42	4.05
Traffic Engineering	7.14% 3	28.57% 12	2.38% 1	0% 0	2.38% 1	59.52% 25	42	3.94
IPv6 Transition Technologies	9.52% 4	28.57% 12	7.14% 3	0% 0	2.38% 1	52.38% 22	42	3.90
Network Security	11.90% 5	21.43% 9	4.76% 2	0% 0	2.38% 1	59.52% 25	42	4.00
IPv6 in Mobile Networks	14.29% 6	23.81% 10	4.76% 2	0% 0	2.38% 1	54.76% 23	42	4.05
464XLAT: Breaking Free of IPv4	11.90% 5	26.19% 11	4.76% 2	0% 0	2.38% 1	54.76% 23	42	4.00
Response Rate Limiting with BIND	11.90% 5	23.81% 10	7.14% 3	0% 0	2.38% 1	54.76% 23	42	3.95
Designing and Deploying SP Wifi	9.52% 4	21.43% 9	4.76% 2	2.38% 1	2.38% 1	59.52% 25	42	3.82


## APRICOT 2014 Conference Evaluation Survey

Know your backbone: DWDM	<b>16.67%</b> 7	<b>19.05%</b> 8	<b>7.14%</b> 3	<b>0%</b> 0	<b>2.38%</b> 1	<b>54.76%</b> 23	42	4.05
-----------------------------	--------------------	--------------------	-------------------	----------------	-------------------	---------------------	----	------

#	Comments:	Date
1	I only made comment on what I attended.	2/28/2014 12:18 AM

### Q6 How satisfied were you with the overall quality of the conference speakers?

Answered: 42 Skipped: 1




Answer Choices	Responses
5 - Very satisfied	21.43% 9
4 - Satisfied	71.43% 30
3 - Neutral	7.14% 3
2 - Dissatisfied	0% 0
1 - Very dissatisfied	0% 0
<b>Total</b>	<b>42</b>

#	Comments:	Date
	There are no responses.	



### Q7 How satisfied were you with the APRICOT 2014 social events?

Answered: 42 Skipped: 1




	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	Did not attend	Total	Average Rating
Newcomers Orientation	4.76% 2	26.19% 11	14.29% 6	2.38% 1	0% 0	52.38% 22	42	3.70
APRICOT Opening Reception	26.19% 11	45.24% 19	11.90% 5	0% 0	0% 0	16.67% 7	42	4.17
Peering Cocktail	16.67% 7	35.71% 15	7.14% 3	0% 0	0% 0	40.48% 17	42	4.16
Women in ICT	19.05% 8	9.52% 4	9.52% 4	0% 0	0% 0	61.90% 26	42	4.25
APRICOT Closing Social	14.29% 6	38.10% 16	16.67% 7	2.38% 1	0% 0	28.57% 12	42	3.90

#	Comments:	Date
1	The lunches were also great social events, even though it is not marked as such.	3/13/2014 12:49 PM
2	I liked henna tattoo arrangement. I prefer socials without shows and generally quite casual setting made it easy to interact with people.	3/4/2014 3:28 PM
3	For the newcomer's orientation, having a quick overview presentation or icebreaker may be useful in future years.	2/28/2014 8:13 PM
4	The closing social was very crowded.	2/28/2014 2:07 PM

## Q8 Overall, how satisfied were you with APRICOT 2014?

Answered: 42 Skipped: 1




Answer Choices	Responses	Count
5 - Very satisfied	35.71%	15
4 - Satisfied	61.90%	26
3 - Neutral	2.38%	1
2 - Dissatisfied	0%	0
1 - Very dissatisfied	0%	0
<b>Total</b>		<b>42</b>

#	Comments:	Date
1	Many good BoF sessions which again clashed a lot of the sessions I attended. May be worth considering to have questions about BoFs as well, as starting to have several interesting topics.	3/4/2014 3:28 PM
2	This was my first time to attend Apricot and it was a tremendous learning experience and opportunity to become involved in the regional Internet community. I will absolutely plan to attend again next year.	2/28/2014 8:13 PM
3	Hope APRICOT will have meeting tools to make easier to set up meetings, as well as contact information of attendees who signed up to attend.	2/28/2014 4:49 AM
4	The venue was OK but the content and attendees were excellent. Well worth 30 hours of travel each way.	2/27/2014 10:15 PM

### Q9 How satisfied were you with your visit to the APNIC Member Services Lounge?

Answered: 42 Skipped: 1




Answer Choices	Responses
5 - Very satisfied	9.52% 4
4 - Satisfied	26.19% 11
3 - Neutral	7.14% 3
2 - Dissatisfied	0% 0
1 - Very dissatisfied	2.38% 1
Did not visit	54.76% 23
<b>Total</b>	<b>42</b>

#	Comments:	Date
1	I didn't notice it was there this time.	3/4/2014 3:28 PM

### Q10 Did you make use of the APNIC Hostmaster Consultation service?


Answered: 42 Skipped: 1



Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	7.14%	3
No	92.86%	39
<b>Total</b>		<b>42</b>

### Q11 If you answered Yes above, was your APNIC Hostmaster Consultation:

Answered: 8 Skipped: 35




Answer Choices	Responses
4 - Very useful	25% 2
3 - Useful	25% 2
2 - Neutral	37.50% 3
1 - Not useful	12.50% 1
<b>Total</b>	<b>8</b>

#	Comments:	Date
1	N/A	2/28/2014 12:18 AM

### Q12 Was it easy to find all the information you needed to participate at APRICOT 2014 on the conference website?

Answered: 42 Skipped: 1




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	85.71% 36
No	14.29% 6
<b>Total</b>	<b>42</b>

#	Comments	Date
1	ICAL subscription was very useful, but would be better if descriptions included room and floor numbers and url to program page.	3/13/2014 12:49 PM
2	because i really like this job so much	3/10/2014 10:27 PM
3	However, all slides should be on Web before the each session start up.	2/28/2014 2:17 PM
4	loading to slow on program page.	2/28/2014 11:29 AM
5	need to provide participants contact information to set up meetings	2/28/2014 4:49 AM
6	The program pages did not render well on phones. A sit that was designed to work well on mobile would be appreciated	2/27/2014 10:15 PM
7	we need to have a meeting maker so that we can meet people we do not know.,...	2/27/2014 8:19 PM

### Q13 Did you find the conference offered enough networking opportunities for you?

Answered: 42 Skipped: 1



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	92.86% 39
No	7.14% 3
<b>Total</b>	<b>42</b>

#	Comments:	Date
1	hi everybody i am monoskochjet and now i want to say nice to meet all there at here na	3/10/2014 10:27 PM
2	The various people in attendance provided not just expected networking opportunities but many introductions to people I did not plan or expect to meet at this event. The diversity of participants made it great.	2/28/2014 8:13 PM
3	meeting tools will make it more worthwhile and easier to set up meeting between companies which never met outside	2/28/2014 4:49 AM
4	Need to balance between technical and non-technical stuff and peoplerepresentation	2/27/2014 11:37 PM
5	we need to have a meeting maker so that we can meet people we do not know,...	2/27/2014 8:19 PM

## Q14 Are there any other comments you would like to provide to us about the conference?

Answered: 16 Skipped: 27

#	Responses	Date
1	i really like this	3/10/2014 10:27 PM
2	Would be good to print the conference in the badges like previous conferences. Easier to reference than going online.	3/6/2014 7:58 PM
3	It was a great meeting with many organizations involved within the region with new trials such as ICANN Update session, ISOC workshops, and APCERT sessions. I heard Abuse BoF had low attendance which is a shame considering the topic. I did not feel at all the conference venue was prepared at the last minute, and appreciate all the work APIA has put into this. I have also heard Molly has been working hard to make this all work - a big appreciation to her.	3/4/2014 3:28 PM
4	I am quite satisfied with the conference and the way it went.	3/4/2014 2:20 PM
5	Conference Venue was good, but we preferred it near to city center.	3/2/2014 9:10 PM
6	Unhappy with the increasing focus on "Internet Governance" at the expense of real technical content	3/2/2014 9:07 AM
7	As a first time participant I didn't have prior experience or expectations to compare this to so I came in very open minded. However, this event far exceeded my expectations in terms of quality of content and the diverse amount of participants involved. I was very happy I made the choice to attend. I would also like to express my deep gratitude to everyone that helped put this together. I know there must've been a tremendous amount of effort by many people behind the scenes to make an event like this happen. Thank you!!!	2/28/2014 8:13 PM
8	Venue change decision was too late. I was wasted a lot of money for changing travel transportation.	2/28/2014 11:29 AM
9	meeting tools	2/28/2014 4:49 AM
10	The program schedule should be place in name tag.	2/27/2014 11:22 PM
11	Well done and congratulations to all involved.	2/27/2014 10:36 PM
12	I was very impressed at the quality of the venue given the short notice you had to relocate. You are either very skilled or lucky or both.	2/27/2014 10:15 PM
13	Dnssec workshop...	2/27/2014 8:48 PM
14	we need to have a meeting maker so that we can meet people we do not know,...	2/27/2014 8:19 PM
15	Very well done, especially after relocation.	2/27/2014 8:17 PM
16	Put the conference schedule back to the reverse side of name badge The badges always flip around to show reverse side because attached from the middle and not from both corners	2/27/2014 7:42 PM