

APNIC

33

CONFERENCE

27 February - 2 March 2012

NEW DELHI,
INDIA



Policy SIG Chair Report

APNIC 33 Policy SIG Meeting



Some issues from new Chairs group

- Election of Chairs and Co-chairs
- Measuring consensus
- Implementation considerations from proposals
- What next?



APNIC

33
CONFERENCE
27 February - 2 March 2012

NEW DELHI,
INDIA



Election of Chairs and Co-chairs

- At APNIC 32 we elected a new Chair and two Co-chairs
 - I'd been acting chair for a few months
 - Co-chairs got elected and took on the role immediately
 - No time to ease into role
- SIG guidelines:
 - The election must be held at the upcoming SIG session as the first item on the agenda
- What if the chairs took up their role at the end of the Policy Sig session?



APNIC

33
CONFERENCE
27 February - 2 March 2012

NEW DELHI,
INDIA



When should chairs take up their new role?

- If new chairs took up their role at the end of the meeting they would have a chance to learn about their role before the next meeting
- Chairs who have been following discussion prior to the meeting deal with the proposal during the meeting
- Chairs get to meet each other and assign roles and responsibilities before the open meeting



APNIC

33
CONFERENCE
27 February - 2 March 2012

NEW DELHI,
INDIA



How should the chairs be elected?

- Policy SIG Chair and Co-chairs have a responsibility to the whole community
 - Open meeting
 - Remote hubs
 - Mailing list
 - Jabber
- Should the election process be as simple as a show of hands at the meeting?
 - Could be abused by stacking the room
 - Would a process like the one for the NRO NC elections be more representative?
 - <http://www.apnic.net/community/participate/elections/nro-elections/nro-election-process>



APNIC

33
CONFERENCE
27 February - 2 March 2012

NEW DELHI,
INDIA



Measuring consensus

- At the Open Policy Meeting
 - Not everyone is comfortable expressing an opinion in public
- Remote access
 - APNIC organised hubs
 - Community organised hubs
 - People connected via Jabber and Remote Video
- Should we take their views into consideration in the consensus process?
 - How could we do this?



APNIC

33
CONFERENCE
27 February - 2 March 2012

NEW DELHI,
INDIA



Possible solution

- We might consider something like:
 - <http://meetings.apnic.net/33/policy/consensus-test>



APNIC

33
CONFERENCE
27 February - 2 March 2012

NEW DELHI,
INDIA



Implementation considerations from proposals

- During the OPM we ask the Secretariat to advise us on any implementation considerations that may happen if a proposal is agreed
- Members of the community who engage via the mailing list are not aware of these when they engage before the meeting
- Would a response from the Secretariat to the mailing list be useful?

Prop-xxx-v001: Proposal Name

IMPLEMENTATION ASSESSMENT



Staff Assessment Questions

Question	Requirement
What sectors of the community does this affect?	
How does this affect address pool management?	
Are there any new procedures required?	
Are there any changes to existing procedures?	
Are there ramifications for the fee schedule?	
Does this affect the Member Agreement?	
Are their interactions with existing policies?	
How does this affect the NIRs?	
How does this affect the external website?	
How does this affect ARMS?	
How does this affect MyAPNIC?	
How does this affect the APNIC Whois Database?	
Are request and update forms affected?	
What other software changes might be involved?	
Are there any security or privacy implications?	
Can this be implemented within three months?	
Does this proposal interact with other proposals?	
How does this affect staff workload: <ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ During Implementation?▪ Ongoing?	



Are there other improvements we could make?

- Your ideas are welcome
- Let's discuss here and on mailing list:
 - sig-policy@apnic.list



APNIC

33
CONFERENCE
27 February - 2 March 2012

NEW DELHI,
INDIA



At APNIC 33

- Present chairs' views for existing issues in policy-SIG
- Ask community views and other issues
- Demonstrate a tool showing consensus
- Report raised issues in AMM



APNIC

33
CONFERENCE
27 February - 2 March 2012

NEW DELHI,
INDIA



Between APNIC 33 and 34

- Getting feedback from community and EC
- For Policy Development Process (if needed)
 - We may need revised Policy Development Process as a policy proposal
 - Discuss it on the list based on current Policy Development Process
- For SIG-guidelines (if needed)
 - We might need a separate guidelines document for policy-SIG
 - Even though it is not numbered document and it is not clear how we can change it, we would discuss in same way as current Policy Development Process

At APNIC 34

- Demonstrate extended remote participation (if possible)
- For Policy Development Process (if needed)
 - Discuss proposed revised Policy Development Process and seek consensus
 - Report the result to AMM and seek consensus
- For SIG-guidelines (if needed)
 - Discuss proposed revised SIG-guidelines or new Policy-SIG guidelines and ask consensus
 - Discuss in NIR-SIG?
 - Report the result to AMM and ask consensus



APNIC

33
CONFERENCE
27 February - 2 March 2012

NEW DELHI,
INDIA



After APNIC 34

- For Policy Development Process (if needed)
 - Discuss in EC and seek endorsement
 - Implement
- For SIG-guidelines (if needed)
 - Discuss in EC and seek endorsement
 - Implement

APNIC

33
CONFERENCE
27 February - 2 March 2012

NEW DELHI,
INDIA



Thank you

Questions?

