

BoF: Membership Changes

Proposal 1: 1 vote per member

Many international organisations have one vote per member

APNIC not a country, but company

1 Vote 1 member is more democratic

Concern if associate member get a vote (no resources)

Proposal doesn't consider NIR, who has many sub members

General view on the floor was mixed but interested to discuss further

- Floor supported setting up a working group to discuss further
- Propose setting up the working group – Objections?
- Call for Chair of the working group - role

Proposal 2: GAC

*Balanced response from the members, generally supportive of promoting involvement of government
Other RIRs explained their structure of having a working group rather than formal Council*

Result there were some changes by the presenter to request a working group similar to ARIN/RIPE, not a formal company or governance structural change

This had the support of the community

- Floor supported setting up a working group to discuss further
- Propose setting up the working group – Objections?
- Call for Chair of the working group - role

Proposal 3: Limit for EC Terms

Questioned the lack of disadvantages in proposal
Questioned implication that longer term ECs may
inappropriate assets

New EC considered a good thing by the floor,
Experience also considered positive

Currently 3 ECs are less than 1 term, 1 Vacant

- Floor supported dropping the proposal

Proposal 3: 1 vote per member