



# IPv4 Transfer Proposal



# What does a transfer proposal do?

- Transfer Proposal allows APNIC to reflect records of transfers undertaken by APNIC account holders



# Discussion Points

1. Should we allow address transfers between APNIC account holders?
2. What should be the conditions of the transfer?
  - a. Minimum size
  - b. Recipient to justify use
  - c. Seller may/may not get more space for some time period
  - d. APNIC to maintain a public log of all transfers
3. What should be the timeline of the implementation?
4. What are the parties that are recognized to do transfers under APNIC policy?



# Should we allow address transfers?

- ✦ Should APNIC recognize transfers between APNIC current account holders\*?
  - APNIC will reflect the records of the transfer with requests from both the source and the recipient

\* Current APNIC account holders = Current APNIC member and non-member account holders



# Conditions – Minimum Size

- ✦ What should be the minimum size of address space to be allowed to transfer?
  - a. /24
    - Likely to reflect the reality of size of address space to be transferred
  - b. Consistent with minimum allocation size/portable assignment size
    - Consideration for routing table growth



# Conditions – Justification of Use

✚ Should we request the recipient to justify address requirements at the time of transfer?

- a. Should justify address requirements to APNIC
  - Maintain the current practice
- b. No justification should be required
  - Stick to the role as “registry” (record keeping) once address pool runs out





# Conditions – Restricting allocations

- ✦ Should we add restrictions to the source of address transfer to receive subsequent allocations from APNIC?
  - a. Should add restrictions (e.g. no allocations or assignments from APNIC for two years)
    - Prevent people from requesting space from APNIC for the sake of making transfers to other organizations
  - b. Should not add any restrictions
    - doesn't make a difference



# Conditions – Log of transfers

- ✚ Should APNIC publicly provide a log of all address transfers?
  - a. Yes, a public log should be provided
    - Helps in assessment of risks /workload required to make the address usable
  - b. No, a public log is not necessary
    - Not particularly useful



The slide features a decorative background on the left side with a network diagram of nodes and connections. A yellow banner at the top contains the title. A green line with a black dot at its end curves across the top of the slide.

# Timeline of the implementation

✚ When should this policy take effect?

a. Implement it as soon as it is ready

- Will make the problem worse if we wait too long

b. Wait around the time IANA/APNIC pool runs out

- May encourage transfers/create other problems if it is implemented too early

# Scope of the transfer

✦ what extent should be recognized to transfer between different parties?

- a. between APNIC account holders only
- b. between APNIC account holder and account holders of other Internet registries (\*)
  1. Account holders of NIRs(option of NIR policy)
  2. Account holders of RIRs(option of RIR policy)

(\*) given it can be accommodated in their respective policies



# Discussion Topics

1. Address transfers should be permitted between APNIC account holders.
2. Minimum size, /24 or then current size
3. Recipient to justify use
4. Seller may/may not get more space for some time period
5. APNIC to maintain a public log of all transfers
6. To take effect now or on last /8 received
7. Address transfers should be permitted between APNIC members and NIR members
8. Inter-NIR transfer (between members of different NIRs)
9. Inter-RIR transfer