

APNIC 19th in Kyoto

Proposal on IPv6 per address fees

Feb. 2005

MH Billy Cheon



Proposal & Reason

- “APNIC does not charge IPv6 per address fees for NIR until it is necessary”
- “For annual membership fees & IPv4 per address fees, we may keep as it is for the time being”
- There is no major problem with the current IPv4 fee scheme, but some NIRs are facing difficulties with IPv6 per address fees

KRNIC situation

- In the case of KRNIC, we do not charge per address fees to members. This means if there's a big IPv6 request, e.g /21, KRNIC or member should pay 440 fold of per address fees to APNIC.
- IPv6 address are not fully commercialized in Korea as well as AP region.

Pros & Cons

- Pros.

“Waiving IPv6 per address fees prevent the cost of IPv6 request being an obstacle to IPv6 deployment in AP region.”

- Cons.

None

Effect on APNIC

- “Waiving IPv6 per address fees for NIR members won’t affect much to APNIC budget according to APNIC’s annual report of 2004.
- Per address fees (IPv4 & IPv6) covers 8% of APNIC’s total revenue.