



■ NIR SIG Report



Report to APNIC Member Meeting
APNIC18, Nadi, Fiji

Akinori MAEMURA, Chair
Izumi Okutani and David Chen, Co-chairs

What is NIR SIG

NIR – National Internet Registry

Serving for in-country Internet Community

With expertise of local language and culture

To discuss on NIR operations and policies

Open to everyone, not limited to NIR people

NIR SIG Mailing : sig-nir@lists.apnic.net



NIR SIG

Thursday, 2nd Sep 16:00-17:30

Roughly 20 attendees

2 proposals, 4 presentations

Election of new co-chairs

New Co-Chairs !!

David Chen, TWNIC



Izumi Okutani, JPNIC

Thanks compiling this
report



Proposals

prop-022-v001

A proposal to abolish redundant charges in IPv6 allocations

prop-024-v001

Changing NIR fee structure

Changing per address fee for IPv6 [prop-022-v001]

Proposal

Revise a method of calculating IPv6 per address fee so that multiple fees charged for the same address range will be abolished


Consensus

Reached Consensus

AMM is asked for approval


IPv6 per address fee

Current



IPv6 per address fee

Proposed



Applying an Upper Limit in Per Address Fee [prop-022-v001]

Proposal

Set per allocation fees charged to NIRs/NIR members at a reasonable level even when large allocations are made to NIR members by setting an upper limit to the fee.

NIR per-address fee vs standard Annual Member Fee

Prefix	p.a. fee (@0.02)	ier	Annual	Addresses	Years
20	\$82	Small	\$2,500	096	.03
19	\$164	mall	\$2,500	8192	.07
/18	\$328	edium	5,000	6384	0.07
17	655	Medium	\$5,000	32768	0.13
/16	\$1,311	Medium	\$5,000	5536	0.26
/15	2,621	Large	\$10,000	131072	0.26
/14	5,243	Large	\$10,000	262144	0.52
/13	10,486	Large	\$10,000	524288	.05
/12	20,972	ery large	20,000	1048576	.05
11	\$41,943	Very large	20,000	2097152	2.10
/10	\$83,886	Very large	20,000	194304	4.19
/9	\$167,772	Extra large	\$40,000	8388608	4.19
/8	\$335,544	Extra large	\$40,000	16777216	.39

Applying an Upper Limit in Per Address Fee [prop-022-v001]

Discussion

Quite active to exhaust all the time

Fairness, financial concern,

Possible work around to solve current problem

Consensus

No consensus for the proposal - a more fundamental review of NIR Fee Scheme is necessary

Set up a Working Group to discuss NIR Fee Scheme

Other presentations

4 (I) presentations planned but no time to go through

Updates from CNNIC, TWNIC, JPNIC

CNNIC and TWNIC made only a brief report

KRNIC Whois Update Project

Apology to the presenters

Action items from this SIG

nir-18-001

Pending approval at each remaining stage of the policy proposal process, Secretariat to implement this proposal (prop-022-v001).

nir-18-002

Secretariat to call for volunteers of a new working group to review the NIR fee structure.