



NIR Meeting
Feb 2004

JPNIC Open Policy Meeting Update

Izumi Okutani
JPNIC IP Department
izumi@nic.ad.jp

Contents

- Policy Making in JP
- Discussions at JP-OPM
- Discussions on the ML
- Summary
- Future considerations
- Next Meeting

Introduction

This presentation introduces discussions and issues in 5th JPNIC Open Policy Meeting and our policy mailing list




Policy Making in JP

How it works with AP-OPM

Proposals from the JP Community

3. AP consensus




1. JP consensus

Proposals from the AP Community


1. AP consensus

5. AP re-consensus



3. JP consensus

The Current Policy Making Process in JP



JPNIC Open Policy Meetings

- Held 2/year, 70 attendees/average
- Attendees
 - Mostly ISPs, but also academic institutions, IT product vendors, etc
- Registration
 - open to anyone, free of charge
- Session:
 - Address Policy SIG



JPNIC Open Policy Meeting 5

JPNIC 5

- Date: 5th Dec 2004 9:30-14:30(lunch 1hr)
- Place: Pacifico Yokohama, Japan
- Attendees: 105
- Presentations:
 - Information :5
 - Proposal: 1
- Consensus
 - Revision of the JPNIC documents based on APNIC policy changes



Discussions at JP-OPM



JPNIC5 Agenda

1. JPNIC Update
2. APNIC Open Policy Meeting Issues
3. Lame Delegations Report
4. Starting an Agent Service: Portable Assignments for Small Multi-homed Networks
5. IPv6 Policy Planning Team Update
6. RIRs & NIRs Update
7. Revision of the JPNIC Policy Document(*)

(*) = Proposal

Major Discussions Topics

- Privacy of customer assignment records
- The change of Minimum Allocation size
- Replacing end user's admin-c by LIR's contact

Privacy of Customer Assignment Records

- Introduced the consensus in AP
 - JPNIC proposed an alternative protection method
-
- JPNIC partially protects whois private info already
 - All assignment records are publicly accessible, but necessary care will be taken

Comments on Privacy of Customer Assignment Records(I)

- Needs of privacy protection
 - No clear consensus
 - End-users should bear the equal responsibility?
- The role of whois database
 - Restricted to contact information directory?...Or More?
- Responsibility of LIRs
 - How far should LIRs take the responsibility?
 - Restricted to contacts? ...Or more?

Comments on Privacy of Customer Assignment Records(II)

- Request for co-ordination with APNIC to protect information disclosed in APNIC whois

Outcome

- No clear consensus
- Continue discussions on the ML

The Change of Minimum Allocation Size

Introduced the idea without specifying size
nor criteria



Comments on the Change of Minimum Allocation Size

18

- Support the idea of lowering the barrier, but should ensure the CIDR structure
- Should not encourage end user networks without technical reasons to receive allocations



Comments on the Change of Minimum Allocation Size

19

- Alternative suggestions:
 - lowering the criteria but keeping the allocation size unchanged(/20)
 - Apply multi-homing criteria instead of criteria based on address size
- Distinction between portable assignments and allocations must be clarified

Outcome

Support the idea, but more discussions
necessary about the method

Replacing admin-c with LIR's Contact

- Already implemented in operations
- Documented in the APNIC policy
- Proposed to describe it in the JPNIC policy

Outcome

- Consensus reached at the meeting for a consistent policy with APNIC



However....

- Further discussions took place on the ML
- Received comments against the proposal from some LIRs outside the ML

Other Discussions

- Applying AD-ratio in IPv4 allocations
 - Utilization should be evaluated for each POP instead of applying AD-ratio in IPv4
- Lame delegation checks
 - JPNIC should provide info about lame servers
 - JPNIC secretariat will consider a specific implementation method

Summary of the meeting

- 4 hours was too short
- Queue at microphones!
- Introducing proposals before the AP consensus 
- Questionnaire showed interests in making proposals 
- Held on the same day as LIR Meeting to encourage LIR participation



Discussions on ip-users ML

Discussion Topics on the ML

- Replacing admin-c by LIR's contact
- APNIC17 proposals
- The consensus process in JP

Replacing admin-c by LIR's Contact

- End users should bare the equal responsibility
- Changes the definition of admin-c if restricting its role as contacts
- The responsibility of LIRs is ambiguous
 - Merely act as a contact?
 - Makes decision about cutting connections/other actions for abuse complaints?
 - The implications on legal responsibilities?

Outcome

- JPNIC suspended the implementation
- JPNIC will re-define admin-c and make a proposal at the next JP-OPM
 - Clearly describe that admin-c merely serves as a contact

APNIC17 proposals

- Translated policy proposals on the SIG ML
 - Lowering minimum allocation size & criteria
 - IPv6 allocations to closed networks
 - IPv6 address for IPv4 networks
 - Recovery of unused historical resources

- No comments so far

Definition of the consensus process

- No defined process for the meeting consensus \neq ML discussions
 - e.g) discussions on replacing admin-c
 - No timeframe defined for comments, implementation
- Co-ordination with LIRs
 - Some LIRs feel:
 - JPNIC should discuss policies with LIRs separately
 - More comfortable to comment individually, not on the ML

Definition of the consensus process

The secretariat holds a working group meeting
in March to discuss this issue

Summary

- The first meeting to introduce AP proposals in advance
- Many non-JPNIC participants spoke out at the meeting
- Fundamental issues were raised
 - the policy development process
 - co-ordination with LIR meetings


Future Considerations

- Define Policy Development Process
 - Distinction between JP-OPM & LIR Meetings
- Encourage active participation
 - More proposals from the community
 - Encourage more LIR participation
 - Activate the ML
- Introduce AP proposals at JP-OPM in advance

Future model with AP-OPM

Proposals from the JP Community

3. AP consensus




1. JP consensus

Proposals from the AP Community

1. proposal

5. AP consensus



3. JP consensus

Next JP-OPM

- Summer 2004
 - Specific date to be fixed around April
- APNIC and NIR staff are welcome to attend our meeting!

Thank You!

