Proposed Changes to SIG Guidelines for Discussions

Dean Pemberton Izumi Okutani

Introduction

- The need for proposals or discussions for a certain SIG topic may change over time
- This proposes to describe the possible options SIG participants can chose when facing the situation of no longer having policy proposals at a SIG

Major function of the SIGs

- Handling of proposals
 - Facilitate discussions, seek consensus, request APNIC for implementation
- Following the SIG guidelines and having elected Chair/Co-Chairs are important for fair and transparent operations

SIGs at the APNIC Forum

- Today, Policy SIG and NIR SIG are the only SIGs
- Operational SIGs abolished its format as a SIG and had incorporated into other sessions
 - APOPS, IPv6 Plenary, IXP session, etc

NIR SIG Today

- No proposal over past five years
 - An NIR Updates session in reality, similar to RIR Updates
 - Fee Schedule, Voting rights are discussed at dedicated sessions organized per needs basis
- Similar to other APNIC sessions which do not take SIG format
 - Global Reports, IX session?
- Is SIG the best format to run the session for such contents?
 - SIGs are designed to best accommodate and handle proposals: additional formalities, restricts flexibilities

Possible Alternative

- Reformat as "NIR Community Session" or "NIR Reports session" for example
 - Continue to be a regular session with a dedicated mailing list
- Give chance to all NIRs to give updates as a default more comprehensive information sharing
 - No need to submit request for presentation each time
 - Submit a request only when presenting a topic other than updates
- Allow Chairing the session from wider participants
 - NIRs can take turns to Chair the session for experience
 - small session, many people know each other
 - no need for elected Chair/Co-Chair if no proposal

Other consideration:

Have volunteers to consider contents and proactively seek speakers?

- Opportunity open to all, not just Chair/Co-Chair

Discussions so far

- Introduction of the idea at NIR SIG in APNIC35
 - No comments at NIR SIG
 - A concern expressed at AMM from an NIR about losing a forum for NIRs
 - A concern expressed privately from an NIR about whether it would be easy to bring it back to a SIG when needed

The issue may not just be for NIR SIG

- Policy SIG currently have proposals at every session but it may change
 - IPv4 pool exhaustion, and transfer policy in place
 - e.g. No proposal at Open Policy Forum in JP Jul 2013
- Worth defining what to do when there will no proposals for consecutive SIGs in advance

Possible Way Forward

- There should be a described process to consider continuation of the SIG when there are no proposals submitted for consecutive sessions
- There should be an option to continue the sessions under a non-SIG format, instead of totally dissolving the session
- There should be an easy mechanism to re-activate a SIG, if needs come back in the future to discuss proposals on the SIG topic

Reflect them in the SIG Guidelines Document

Proposal

- Add descriptions in the SIG Guidelines document about the process of:
 - Hibernating a SIG
 - Reanimation a SIG

Process for Hibernating a SIG

 If there are no proposals submitted to the SIG for three consecutive SIG sessions, the SIG can be put to sleep.

 The SIG Chair/Co-Chairs will notify the community to put a SIG into hibernation at the following SIG session and the SIG community will have an option to select

Options for SIG Hibernation

- Put the SIG into hibernation and continue to hold the session in a different
 - Regular sessions will continue to be organized under a non-SIG format with a dedicated ML
- b. No longer hold the SIG session and hibernate the SIG
 - This option could be considered only situation in "2.2
 Dissolving a SIG" in the SIG Guideline document applies
 - Instead of dissolving the SIG completely, hibernation allows the SIG to be re-animated easily when necessary

Reanimating a SIG

- If a proposal is posted to the SIG to discuss and seek consensus from the community, the SIG will be re-animated, without any formal approval from the community, to facilitate the discussion
- The same criteria as today will apply to judge the relevance of the topic to the SIG
 - i.e. The topic must be consistent with the charter of the SIG
- The hibernating SIG Chair/Co-Chair will make the decision to accept or decline a proposal to the SIG

Reanimating a SIG (contd)

- If a proposal is accepted, the hibernating SIG Chair/Co-Chair will be responsible to reactivate the SIG to facilitate the discussions
- If the hibernating SIG Chair/Co-Chair position expired at the time of putting the SIG to sleep, Secretariat will follow the SIG guidelines to elect Chair/Co-Chair

Discussions at NIR SIG

- Tues 27th Aug 2013
 - http://conference.apnic.net/36/program#session/61671
- Some support confirmed for the proposal
 - Some questions raised about the term of Chair/Co-Chair during hibernation
 - A few hands raised against the proposal
 - Substantial number of people supported continued discussions – partially due to misunderstanding of the process

Clarification about SIG Chair/Co-Chair term during hibernation

- The term of Chair/Co-Chair is counted only during active SIG period
 - During hibernation, the term of Chair/Co-Chair is not counted
- If a Chair resigns during hibernation Co-Chair will take the position, until electing a Chair at the next APNIC Conference

How does this Affect Policy SIG

 There is not immediate effect as we have proposals at every APNIC conferences

 Clarifies the options and process in case no proposals at consecutive Policy SIG sessions

Next Steps

- Inform about the SIGs discussions at AMM (Fri 8/30)
- If the general support is confirmed at SIGs, APNIC secretariat will post SIG Guidelines Document with changes reflected, for editorial comments
- No ML discussions as this is guidelines document, not policy document, so not a policy proposal in the formal sense

Questions?

